

## Office of the Attorney General State of Texas

DAN MORALES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 4, 1996

Ms. Celamaine Cunniff
Regional Attorney
Texas Department of Protective
and Regulatory Services
P.O. Box 4300
Tyler, Texas 79116-3700

OR96-0875

Dear Ms. Cunniff:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Open Records Act, chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 39616.

The Texas Department of Protective and Regulatory Services (the "department") received an open records request for all records concerning a certain individual and his daughter. The requestor is the accused in an investigation for alleged child abuse. The requestor is also the biological parent of the victim of the alleged abuse. You contend that the department may withhold the requested records from the public pursuant to section 552.108 of the Government Code.

You assert that section 552.108 applies to the requested information because you say it relates to a pending criminal prosecution. You enclosed a letter from Ms. Beverly Mathews, Assistant District Attorney for Gregg County, Texas, in which Ms. Mathews requests that the department withhold the requested information because a case "is currently under official investigation by this department [and] under current or pending criminal prosecution." The letter also states that the release of the requested records would hinder the investigation or prosecution of the case.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from required public disclosure information that is confidential by law. Section 261.307 of the Family Code, states in part as follows:

As soon as possible after initiating an investigation of a parent or other person having legal custody of a child, the department shall provide to the person a brief and easily understood summary of:

(3) the person's right to review all records of the investigation unless the review would jeopardize an ongoing criminal investigation.

We need not address whether section 261.307 gives the requestor a right to review investigative records since we believe that the district attorney has established that such review would jeopardize the pending criminal investigation.

Section 261.201(a) of the Family Code reads as follows:

- (a) The following information is confidential, is not subject to public release under Chapter 552, Government Code, and may be disclosed only for purposes consistent with this code and applicable federal or state law or under rules adopted by an investigating agency:
- (1) a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made under this chapter and the identity of the person making the report; and
- (2) except as otherwise provided in this section, the files, reports, records, communications, and working papers used or developed in an investigation under this chapter or in providing services as a result of an investigation.

The requested information consists of "reports, records, communications, and working papers used or developed" in an investigation conducted under chapter 261 of the Family Code. We believe subsection (a) is applicable to the requested information.

Subsection (f) of section 261.201 of the Family Code reads as follows:

Notwithstanding Subsection (b), the department, on request and subject to department rule, shall provide to the parent, managing conservator, or other legal representative of a child who is the subject of reported abuse or neglect information concerning the reported abuse or neglect that would otherwise be confidential under this section if the department has edited the information to protect

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Subsection (b), which is not applicable here, describes the conditions when a court may order the disclosure of information made confidential by subsection (a)

the confidentiality of the identity of the person who made the report and any other person whose life or safety may be endangered by the disclosure.

Family Code § 261.201(f)(emphasis and footnote added). Subsection (f) requires the department to provide certain parties, including a parent of a child who is the subject of a child abuse investigation, the information made confidential by subsection (a), with certain redactions. As the requestor here is the parent of the child involved in the investigation, we must consider whether the department must release the requested information to the requestor pursuant to subsection (f). However, the department's release of the information pursuant to subsection (f) is "subject to department rule." We will consider whether the department's rules provide for the disclosure of the requested information to the requestor.

Section 700,102 of title 40 of the Texas Administrative Code states that:

Information about a child protective services client is confidential and may not be released except as authorized by statute, federal regulation, court direction, attorney general's opinion, and the [department's] rules concerning disclosure of information and confidentiality of information in Chapter 734 of this title (relating to Public Information).

Section 700.102 directs us to consider other department rules concerning the disclosure of client information. Section 700.103 of title 40 of the Texas Administrative Code provides as follows:

A child protective services client may review all information in the client's case record except the identity of the complainant, information exempted from disclosure under the Open Records Act, and information exempted under other state laws.

40 T.A.C. § 700.103 (emphasis added). This rule permits a "client" to review that client's case record, with the exception of the complainant's identity and information excepted from disclosure under the Open Records Act and other state laws. See also 31 T.A.C. § 734.11(c) (permitting client review of case record information, with certain exceptions). The department's "CANRIS report" appears to list the father of the family under investigation as a department client. However, even if the department considers the requestor a client under these circumstances, the regulation makes an exception to a client's right to review information in the client's case record for information "exempted from disclosure under the Open Records Act." We now proceed to consider whether the information is exempted from disclosure under the Open Records Act.

Section 552.108 excepts from disclosure:

- (a) A record of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . .
- (b) An internal record or notation of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution.

When applying section 552.108, this office distinguishes between cases that are still under active investigation and those that are closed. Open Records Decision No. 611 (1992) at 2. In cases that are still under active investigation, section 552.108 excepts from disclosure all information except that generally found on the first page of the offense report. See generally Houston Chronicle Publishing Co. v. City of Houston, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.--Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976); Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976). Once a case is closed, information may be withheld under section 552.108 only if its release "will unduly interfere with law enforcement or crime prevention." See Ex parte Pruitt, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977); Attorney General Opinion MW-446 (1982); Open Records Decision Nos. 444 (1986), 434 (1986).

In this instance, you have provided this office with a letter from the assistant district attorney. She states that this case "is currently under official investigation by this department [and] under current or pending criminal prosecution." The letter also states that the release of the requested records would hinder the investigation or prosecution of the case. Therefore, with the exception of information generally found on the first page of an offense report, the city may withhold the requested documents under section 552.108. However, even though the identity of the complainant is considered to be front page offense report information, the department may not release to the requestor information that identifies the complainant. Family Code § 261.201(f) (the identity of the complainant must be withheld even from the client); 40 T.A.C. § 700.103.

Basic information includes the location of the incident, time of occurrence, and a description of the incident. In Houston Chronicle Publishing Co. v. City of Houston, 531 S.W.2d 177, 186-87 (Tex. Civ. App.--Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976), the court identified certain types of information which are public. Although this information is generally found on the first page of an offense report, its location is not determinative. It must be released regardless of where it is located. To determine what information must be released, the type of information must be examined rather than where it is located. See Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) at 5. We have enclosed a summary of the types of information considered public which must be disclosed.

In summary, as most of the requested information is excepted from disclosure under the Open Records Act, section 700.103 of title 31 of the Texas Administrative Code does not apply to permit a client to review all of the client's case record information. We do not believe that the department's regulations permit the disclosure of the requested information to the requester in its entirety. Therefore, subsection (f) of section 261.201 does not require the department to provide all the requested information to the parent here. Thus, as the department's regulations do not permit disclosure of the requested information, such information is excepted from required public disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 262.201(a) of the Family Code.<sup>2</sup> Pursuant to department regulations and section 552.108 of the Government Code, the department must, however, release information that is generally found on the first page of an offense report with the exception of the information that identifies the complainant.

We are resolving this matter with this informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision. This ruling is limited to the particular records at issue under the facts presented to us in this request and may not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records. If you have questions about this ruling, please contact our office.

Yours very truly,
Tou Balland

Don Ballard

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

JDB/ch

Ref.: ID# 39616

Enclosures: Summary of Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976)

Submitted documents

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>We note that section 552.108 of the Government Code is a discretionary exception. The department may choose to release an investigative file to a "client" under section 700.103 of title 40 or to a "parent, managing conservator, or other legal representative of a child who is the subject of reported abuse or neglect" pursuant to subsection (f) of section 262.201 of the Family Code.